

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Buc 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,582	04/16/2004		Rolf Pfeiter	3926.081	1763
7	590	03/23/2005		EXAM	INER
PENDORF &		F	LIN, INC	HOUR	
5111 Memorial Highway Tampa, FL 33634-7356				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1725		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ΘΑ /	ih					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/826,582	PFEIFER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ing-Hour Lin	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely.  Tom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	pril 2004.						
_	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the applicatio							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/04 and 10/04</u> .	6) Other:	`					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 030805					

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 35, "the support or reinforcing or casing mold" lacks antecedent basis.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1725

5. Claims 21-22, 25-27, 30-33 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langer et al in view of Naito et al.

Langer et al (col. 3 lines 14+) teach the claimed casting mold including porous ceramic produced by selectively sintering binder coated ceramic particles for casting metals (col. 12, lines 41+), and teach methods of producing a green casting mold by rapid prototyping method including 3D-CAD construction using the binder coated ceramic particles including zirconium oxide (ziconic sand). Langer et al fail to teach the use of coated coarse ceramic particles and fine silica.

However, Naito et al (col. 7, lines 39+) teach the use of coated coarse ceramic particles with size of 1-5 mm and fine silica with size of 0.1-10 microns and 1-20 wt% organic binders for the purpose of controlling mechanical strength and physical properties of molding including coefficient of thermal expansion greater than 8 \* 10<sup>-6</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> or 0.8% at 1000° C (see Table 10 on cols. 23-24). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Langer et al the use of coated coarse ceramic particles and fine silica as taught by Naito et al in order to effectively prevent porosity in the cast alloys by controlling mechanical strength and physical properties of molding including coefficient of thermal expansion and controlling the reduction of sintering temperature, wherein the smaller particle has lower sintering temperature compared to the coarse particles.

6. Claims 23-24, 34-35 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langer et al in view of Naito et al and further in view of either Zoia et al or Smith et al.

Art Unit: 1725

Langer et al in view of Naito et al fail to teach the use of optimal design including reinforcing ribs and cooling channels and support including back-fed ceramic material.

However, Zoia et al (col. 3, lines 3+) teach the use of optimal design including reinforcing ribs 100 and cooling channels for the purpose of controlling both strength and structure and Smith et al (col.4, lines 10+) teach the support including back-fed ceramic material such as unconsolidated mold 41 formed from alumina for the purpose of supporting the mold during casting. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Langer et al in view of Naito et al the use of optimal design including reinforcing ribs and cooling channels as taught by Zoia et al in order to effectively control both strength and structure and the use of support including back-fed ceramic material as taught by Smith et al in order to effectively support the mold during casting.

7. Claims 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langer et al in view of Naito et al and further in view of Kington.

Langer et al in view of Naito et al fails to teach the use of matching the coefficient of thermal expansion between the casting mold and the supper alloys to be cast in the mold.

However, Kington (col. 1, lines 43+) teaches the use of matching the coefficient of thermal expansion between the casting mold and the Ni-supper alloys to be cast in the mold for the purpose of preventing porosity in the cast alloys. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Langer et al in view of Naito et al the use of matching the coefficient of thermal expansion between the casting mold and the Ni-supper alloys to be cast in the mold as taught by Kington in order to prevent porosity in the cast alloys.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,582 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

1 . ; 7

8. Claims 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank et al in view of Naito et al.

Frank et al (col. 2, lines 40+) teach the claimed powder mixture for producing insert (core) for precision cast molds comprising coated coarse alumina and fine alumina coated by polymer binder (thermoplastic wax-based binder), wherein the fine alumina lowers the sintering temperature (col. 3, lines 40+).

Frank et al fail to teach the use of other fine ceramic particles such as fine silica.

However, Naito et al (col. 7, lines 39+) teach the use of fine silica with size of 0.1-10 microns and 1-20 wt% organic binders for the purpose of lowering the sintering temperature and controlling mechanical strength and physical properties of insert (core) including coefficient of thermal expansion greater than 8 \* 10<sup>-6</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> or 0.8% at 1000° C (see Table 10 on cols. 23-24). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Frank et al the use of coated coarse ceramic particles and fine silica as taught by Naito et al in order to effectively control mechanical strength and physical properties of molding including coefficient of thermal expansion and effectively reduce sintering temperature, wherein the smaller particle has lower sintering temperature compared to the coarse particles.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ing-Hour Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1180. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) Second Friday Off.

Art Unit: 1725

1 , ; ;

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9. RX.

I.-H. Lin

3-8-05

Heron Kerns 3/19/65 Primary Examiner - AU 1725